

## **Overview**

The existing orange roughy Harvest Strategy will not meet the exacting requirements of the Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) Fisheries Standard and Certification Requirements in its current format; as a consequence Deepwater Group (DWG) has revised the Harvest Strategy for those stocks undergoing assessment against the MSC Fisheries Standard.

DWG contracted Innovative Solutions Ltd to undertake a Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) to inform and to test a new Harvest Strategy that will meet the MSC Certification Requirements.<sup>1</sup> This revised orange roughy harvest strategy has been developed in close collaboration with MPI. The MSE methodology has been considered by the Deep Water Working Group and accepted by MPI Science as meeting the Research and Science Information Standard for New Zealand Fisheries.

This paper summarises the outputs and Harvest Control Rules that are set out in the MSE and the revised harvest strategy agreed by ORH3B & ORH7A quota owners on 13 August 2014.

## **Reference Points**

Reference points	Values	Description
Deterministic B <sub>MSY</sub>	26% B <sub>0</sub>	The biomass at which the long-term sustainable average yield will be maximised.
Management Target Range	30-50% B <sub>0</sub>	The stock is expected to fluctuate within this management target range.
Limit Reference Point	20% B <sub>0</sub>	The point at which catch limits should be significantly reduced to prevent serious impairment of recruitment.

This Harvest Strategy increases the upper bound of the Management Target Range from that agreed to in 2013 at 40% B<sub>0</sub> to 50% B<sub>0</sub> and consequently increases the mid-point of the range from 35% to 40% B<sub>0</sub>. This revised target range has been set to cope with the large periodic recruitment pulses and consequent long-term fluctuations in biomass, predicted in the MSE.

The maintenance of orange roughy stocks within a Management Target Range of 30-50%  $B_0$  will provide high confidence that stock status will remain above both  $B_{MSY}$  and the Limit Reference Point of 20%  $B_0$ .

The limit reference point defined within the MSC Fisheries Standard (PI 1.1.2 SG80) is "above the level at which there is an appreciable risk of impairing reproductive capacity" (see CB2.3.1). This is considered to be the higher of 20%  $B_0$  or 50%  $B_{MSY}$  (see CB2.3.3.1). Modelling parameters within the MSE have been set to provide for the stock size to remain above 20%  $B_0$ .

In the event that the stock status declines to 20% B<sub>0</sub> then the stock size will need to be rebuilt back to the management target range to meet the MSC Certification Requirements.

The MSC Certification Requirements provide that any stock below 20% B<sub>0</sub> may not be certified and if a certified stock size declines to this level then the stock will have any existing MSC Certification suspended.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cordue (2014) A Management Strategy Evaluation for Orange Roughy. ISL Report for Deepwater Group. August 2014. 23p



## **Harvest Control Rule**

The principal objective of this Harvest Control Rule (HCR) is to maintain stock status within the target range. The HCR is illustrated in Figure 1 and discussed below.




Figure 1: Orange roughy harvest control rule (HCR)

The HCR has been modelled within the MSE which estimates that it will maintain stock status around 42% B<sub>0</sub> and within the management target range 97% of the time. It also estimates that, under this HCR, the stock will not decline below 20% B<sub>0</sub>.

Under this HCR, catch limits would be set based on an Fmid of 0.045. This means that if a stock is estimated to be at 40%  $B_0$ , the midpoint of the target range, the recommended catch would be based on F = 0.045 (i.e. 4.5% of the current vulnerable biomass).

If a stock is estimated to be within the target range, the recommended catch limit would be estimated, based on the slope of the HCR within this range (i.e. between 0.034 at 30%  $B_0$  and 0.056 at 50%  $B_0$ ).

When the stock status is estimated to be greater than 40% B<sub>0</sub>, the HCR allows removal of more catch to return the stock to 40% B<sub>0</sub>. Conversely, when the stock size is estimated to be at the lower bound of the management target range, the recommended catch limit would be reduced to 75% of  $F_{mid}$  (i.e. to F = 0.034) to provide for the stock size to increase back towards 40% B<sub>0</sub>.



HCR Requires Stocks Size to Remain Within Management Target Range For stocks that are outside the management target range (either higher or lower) the HCR provides for an additional 'rescaling' multiplier to provide the required robustness at low stocks sizes and to enable a greater catch to be taken at high stock sizes. The rescaling is designed to prevent the stock declining below 20%  $B_0$  and results in a very high probability of stocks fluctuating within the management target range in the long term. Rescaling would operate at stock sizes below 30%  $B_0$  and above 60%  $B_0$ .

At stock sizes below 30% B<sub>0</sub> 'rescaling' will decrease the recommended catch limit to ensure the stock moves back into the management target range more quickly and to reduce the risk of the stock continuing to decrease. For example, for a stock at 25% B<sub>0</sub>, the recommended catch limit would be calculated based on the slope of the line determined by the HCR (i.e. F = 0.025) and, because the stock size is below the management target range, the catch limit would be scaled down by an additional ~8% (i.e. F = 0.023). The exact scalar depends on the stock assessment results. If the stock remains below the target range in subsequent assessments the catch limit could be again scaled down.

Similarly, for stock sizes >60%  $B_0$ , the catch limit derived from the HCR line would be scaled up by 10% (i.e. F = 0.062).

This HCR combined with the rescaling provides very good performance in the long run, maintaining stocks within the management target range 97% of the time. It also results in a higher overall yields for industry (without the rescaling component,  $F_{mid}$  would need to be 16% lower and the HCR would need to ramp down to F = 0 at 20% B<sub>0</sub>). Both DWG and MPI propose the HCR be reviewed each 4-5 years to ensure that it remains appropriate for these fisheries.

At this time, this rescaling is not seen as presenting a major risk of reducing the catch levels from the stocks being assessed for MSC Certification.

Two of the three stocks currently under assessment against the MSC standard (ORH3B NWCR and ORH7A) are both well within the management target range and the 'rescaling' factor would not be required unless there is an unexpected change in stock status. The status of the ORH3B ESCR stock is assessed to be  $\sim$ 30% B<sub>0</sub>, close to the lower bound of the management target range. A decrease in stock status in an updated stock assessment could potentially result in a requirement for this stock to be 'rescaled'.

George Clement Chief Executive 15 August 2014